

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/516,342	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KOLESNICK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Sean R. McGarry	<b>Art Unit</b> 1635	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Sean R. McGarry.

(2) Christne Dietzel.

**Date of Interview:** 2 December 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
22, 38, 43, 48

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sean R McGarry/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1635

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was discussed the cancelation of the above claims due to their failure to further limit the claims from which they depend. Applicant indicated that information relevant to the application would be filed in a supplemental response. It was discussed the addition of dependent claims drawn to specific sequences..